ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)

COUNSEL/ENDORSEMENT SLIP

COURT FILE NO.: CV-26-00007954-00CL **DATE: November 12, 2026**

NO. ON LIST: 2

TITLE OF PROCEEDING:

WIGI RESTRUCTURED BOND CORPORATION

v.

1000234566 Ontario Inc et al.

BEFORE: JUSTICE S. DUNPHY

PARTICIPANT INFORMATION

For Plaintiff, Applicant, Moving Party:

Name of Person Appearing	Name of Party	Contact Info
Alec Angle	Counsel for WIGI Restructured Bond Corporation	aangle@torys.com

For Defendant, Respondent, Responding Party:

Name of Person Appearing	Name of Party	Contact Info
Alex Zoutis Peter Smiley	Counsel for Davinder Khattra	azoutis@byldslaw.com psmiley@byldslaw.com
Parjot Benipal	Counsel For Arandeep Grewal	Benipal@fbwlaw.ca

For Other, Self-Represented:

Name of Person Appearing	Name of Party	Contact Info
Jesse Hayward	Counsel for Law Society of Ontario	jhayward@lso.ca

Sam Dukesz	Counsel for SEI Capital Inc.	sdukesz@stikeman.com
Meg Bennett	Counsel to Chicago	bennettm@bennettjones.com
Jeff Larry Ryan Shah Emma Workman Sanghyun Park	Counsel for AlixPartners	jeff.larry@paliareroland.com ryan.shah@paliareroland.com emma.workman@paliareroland.com sanghyun.park@paliareroland.com
David Sieradzki Bobby Kofman	Receiver	David.sieradzki@alixpartners.com robert.kofman@alixpartners.com
Wendy Greenspoon-Soer	Counsel for National Bank (Interested Party)	wgreenspoon@garfinkle.com
Nav Rai	Counsel for 1079283 Ontario Inc. (Mortgagee - 8114 Mayfield)	nrai@mandlaw.com
Sandra Johnston	Counsil for Meridian	sjohnston@szklaw.ca
Avi Freedland	Counsel for Cesana Parties -	avi@atkinsonlaw.ca
Cameron Rempel	Counsel for Rajvinder Grewal	crempel@agbllp.com
Amanda Ross	Counsel for Rajinder Grewal	aross@grcllp.ca
Amandeep Sidhu Harjaap Sahota	Counsel for Computershare Trust Company of Canada	asidhu@sts.law hsahota@sts.law
Logan Schroeder	Counsel for Addison Wealth Management Inc	lschroeder@georgestreetlaw.ca
Jamie Sanderson	counsel for 1509328 Ontario Ltd., Sukhwinder Khun-Khun and IEJ Holdings Inc	jsanderson@maclawyers.ca
Frank Spizzirri	counsel to Mandeep Bhatti and Jatinder Parhar	frank @spizzirrilaw.ca

---

ENDORSEMENT OF JUSTICE:

[1] I am afraid the Microsoft outage appears to have swallowed whole and without a trace the endorsement I prepared and signed yesterday after hearing this matter.

[2] The motion originally scheduled to be heard has been deferred as a result of my order of October 23, 2026 appointing a receiver over the RSG law firm and its trust accounts. The Receiver has been able to perform a preliminary review of the records obtained and filed its First Report with the court. The law firm is no longer in operation at its former address and apparently all of its accounting records have been transferred to the personal laptop of Mr. Khattra which contains a considerable amount of privileged materials as well. The Receiver has imaged the laptop but a more detailed review must await coordination with the Law Society in order to protect privilege.


[3] The Receiver has also begun to receive and analyse banking records but the task of rebuilding a reliable record of transactions in the trust accounts – a necessary first step to understanding the scope of the tracing issues that must be tackled – will take more time.

[4] The publicity surrounding the appointment of the Receiver has also had the salutary effect of surfacing other claimants whose various claims will also need to be investigated and incorporated into the on-going investigation.

[5] It is not expected that sufficient progress will be made to permit firm conclusions to be drawn for several weeks although it is possible that sufficient clarity will emerge to begin to proceed with the various carve-out motions (of which the present motion was but one) if it can be determined that the files recovered permit a sufficiently clear view of some of these transactions.

[6] I remain baffled as to why the Law Society has been unable or unwilling to invoke the rather sweeping trusteeship authority vested in it to protect the public interest. While none of the cases before me have proceeded to the point of judgment and conclusive findings of fact as to what happened, the number and common elements of the various claims that have come before me suggest no innocent explanations that appear plausible.

[7] At the end of the day the funding of this receivership will have to be addressed and there should be no mystery as to the likelihood of all eyes turning towards the Compensation Fund to do so in whole or in part before innocent clients are asked to absorb a loss that is revealed to be the product of fraud (or its insurance arm Law Pro in the case of negligence). It is to be hoped that the Law Society and/or Law Pro will be contacting the Receiver to discuss these and other pressing issues in the very near future.



Date: Nov 13, 2026

Sean Dunphy